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## Background

- Dual-language immersion
- Addressing the ELL needs in AZ
- Passage of Proposition 203 in 2000 in AZ
- No Child Left Behind
- Annual test-AIMS
- Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)



## Research Question

- Did the dual language bilingual immersion program have a significant effect on the English reading skills of Spanish and English speaking seventh and eighth graders compared with those students who were in a sheltered English instruction classrooms?



## Research Design

- Quantitative
- Quasi-experimental
- Nonrandom
- Using ex-post facto data
- AIMS Data from
- 2004
- 2005
- 2006



## Limitations

- The small number of students who were in the program for 3-5 years;
- The location of the school - high mobility rate;
- Teacher quality and expertise.



## Delimitations

- Impact of dual-language immersion program on the reading literacy levels of $7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade ELL and NES at 2 similar schools;
- It did not focus on other types of bilingual education programs;
- It did not focus on suburban or rural schools;
- Program had been in existence;
- The researcher did not introduce a new experimental program.



## Population

- $7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade public school students who are English language learners; (ELL)
- $7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade public school students who are native English speakers. (NES)



## Sampling Procedure

- Experimental Group:

Class of $30,7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade ELL and NES students from a school that employs the dual-language bilingual immersion approach to education;

- Control Group:

Class of $30,7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade ELL and NES students from a similar school where there is only sheltered English instruction program.


## Results

Composite Scores, AIMS Reading Test



## Results

$t$-Test - Reading Scores from AIMS
Assuming equal variances; $n=60,30$ in each group

| Control Group |  |  | Experimental Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\mathrm{M}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{SD}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{M}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{SD}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{t}}$ |  |  |
| 495.3 | 28.9 | 506.1 | 37.4 | 1.24 |  |  |

$\mathrm{p}<.05$
$t$ critical score $=1.67$

## Results

$t$-Test - ELL Reading Scores from AIMS
Assuming unequal variances

| Control Group |  |  |  |  | Experimental Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\mathrm{M}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{SD}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{M}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{SD}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{df}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{t}}$ |  |  |  |
| 509.1 | 37.9 | 501. <br> 4 | 22.4 | 44 <br> 0.87 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{p}<.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t$ critical score $=2.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 12 |
| :--- |

## Results

$t$-Test - NES Reading Scores from AIMS
Assuming unequal variances

| Control Group |  | Experimental Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | SD | M | SD | df | t |
| 531.2 | 35.1 | 529. 8 | 30. 4 | 6 | -0.09 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p}<.05 \\ & t \text { critical score }=2.44 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Results

One-Way ANOVA: Effect of Dual-language immersion on the reading skills of adolescents

| $\underline{\text { Source }}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{df}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{Ss}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{MS}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{F}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Between <br> Groups | 1 | 1728.1 | 1728. <br> 1 | 1.5 |
| Within <br> Groups | 58 | 614817. <br> 7 | 1117. <br> 5 |  |
| Total | 59 | 66545.7 |  |  |

F-critical score of 4.01
$\mathrm{p}<.05$.


## Results

One-Way ANOVA: Effect of Dual-language immersion on the reading skills of NES adolescents

| Source | $\underline{\text { df }}$ | $\underline{\text { S }}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{MS}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{F}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Between <br> Groups | 2 | 8.5 | 4.30 | 0.00 <br> 3 |
| Within <br> Groups | 28 | 34431.0 <br> 4 | 1229. <br> 7 |  |
| Total | 30 | 34440 |  |  |

F-critical score of 3.340
$\mathrm{p}<.05$.


## Results

One-Way ANOVA: Effect of Dual-language immersion on the reading skills of ELL adolescents

| Source | df | $\underline{\mathrm{Ss}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{MS}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{F}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Between <br> Groups | 2 | 1075.2 | 537.6 | 0.3 <br> 7 |
| Within <br> Groups | 86 | 123973. | 1441. <br> 2 |  |
| Total | 88 | 125048. |  |  |

## Summary of Results

- Even though dual-language immersion bilingual program did not have a significant impact on the reading achievement scores between adolescent ELLs and NES, there were some indications of success.
- Positive growth in those students who had participated for the minimum of 3 years while in elementary school;
- $80 \%$ of the students in the sample group demonstrated on average an increase of 28 points in their AIMS raw reading scores compared to $50 \%$ in the control group;
- Both schools were able to achieve AYP for the 2005/2006 school year;



## Summary of Results

- Dual-language immersion bilingual program did not negatively impact the students who had participated in the program;
- Dual-language immersion bilingual program did have an effect on improving students' reading scores, affirming the findings of earlier research studies that the practice of providing instruction in a dual-language setting can increase the reading achievement of students;
- $60 \%$ of the experimental group demonstrated 'meets' on the AIMS reading portion;
- The dual-language students' rate of growth is, at the very least, as good as their contemporaries attending a traditional program.


## Implications for Practice

- 4-6 years of Dual-language
- Use of target language for a minimum of $50 \%$ to a maximum of $90 \%$ in the early years
- Additive bilingual environment for all students to learn a second language while developing their first language
- Balanced ratio of students who speak each language



## Implications (cont.)

- Successful dual language programs exhibit no evidence of instructional, resource or student asymmetry
- All teachers are bilingual and highly qualified
- Materials
- Being a bilingual and literate individual is an advantage in a society that is culturally and linguistically diverse.



## Recommendations for Future Research

- Impact of dual-language programs on students who were in the program for a minimum of 4-6 years;
- Preparation and training in place for the dual-language teachers, parents, and the support staff at dual-language schools;
- Grants by the legislature to further study the merits of the dual-language program and its impact on students' reading skills;
- Listening, speaking and writing skills of NES and ELL adolescents who participated in a dual-language program;


## Recommendations for Future Research (Cont.)

- Instructional techniques and strategies utilized by the teachers at dual-language schools
- Other assessment tools particularly formative tests such as GRO;
- Qualitative studies to examine the perception of adolescents regarding the impact of the dual-language program on their own literacy skills


